



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,895 03/03/2000		Lev Novik	2150 5628		
7.	590 02/12/2003				
Michalik & Wylie PLLC			EXAMINER		
14645 Bel-Red Road Suite 103			TRUONG, LECHI		
Bellevue, WA	98007		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2126		

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

برج		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	0
	Office Action Comments	09/517	7,895	NOVIK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		LeChi		2151	
۔۔ Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication The MAILING DATE of this communication.	cation appears on	the cover sheet with ti	ne correspondence addres	S
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months af a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. of days, a reply within the tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply b statutory minimum of thirty (30 id will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communioned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>04 May 200</u>	<u>0</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				erits is
4)🖂 (Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	application.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or electio	n requirement.		
Application	·				
	he specification is objected to by the				
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11\□ T	Applicant may not request that any objection filed he proposed drawing correction filed		•	,	
י נבו(יי	If approved, corrected drawings are rec			oproved by the Examiner.	
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to		o Onice action.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
•—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	·····		(2) (2)	
•	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have b	peen received.		
;	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority			cation No	
:	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internsee the attached detailed Office action	of the priority docu ational Bureau (Po	iments have been rec CT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Staç	је
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo		·		olication).
	The translation of the foreign lan cknowledgment is made of a claim for		* *		
Attachment	-	•			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	· ·		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-15.	
					



Application/Control Number: 09/517,895

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one for following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claim 1-10 are drawn to invoking combing threes, classified in class 717, subclass 144.
- II. Claim 11-20 are drawn to invoking traversing an event filtering three, classified in class709, subclass 318.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons.

Invention II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instance case, invention I have separate utility such as dynamic linking without using load balancing, and invention II has separate utility such as load balancing without using dynamic linking. See MPEP 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, different searches and their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for group I is not required for group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100